

**UNITED STATES  
PATENT APPLICATION**

**of**

**BRUCE A. BRANDT**

**and**

**JAY D. FADELY**

**for**

**APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR PROCESSING  
MAILPIECE INFORMATION IN A MAIL PROCESSING DEVICE  
USING SORTER APPLICATION SOFTWARE**

09652275 083100  
001E80 5225960

**LAW OFFICES**

**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000**

5

60/152,194, filed August 31, 1999, which is herein incorporated by reference.

0 5 10 15

15

20

LAW OFFICES

**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.**  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

identifying and processing mail are dependent upon human operators, if the mechanical systems are unable to identify or process a mailpiece.

To identify mail with the conventional systems, mail is loaded into a mechanical identification system, which automatically feeds each mailpiece into an optical character reader (OCR) machine. The OCR machine then attempts to "electronically read" the delivery address from the mailpiece in order to place the delivery address in a computer. If the OCR machine cannot read the delivery address (e.g., the ZIP code), the mechanical device rejects the mailpiece. The rejected mailpiece may then be fed into another mechanical device, which presents the mailpiece to a human operator, who "physically reads" the delivery address off the mailpiece and key punches the delivery address into a computer. Once the delivery address has been either electronically or physically read and placed into a computer, the computer prints the delivery address on the mailpiece, using a special code (e.g., a bar code, such as, a POSTNET code).

To process mail with the conventional systems, mail is loaded into a mechanical processing system, which automatically sorts each mailpiece by the destination address. The majority of conventional mechanical processing systems sort each mailpiece based on a special code, such as, a ZIP code or a bar code (i.e., a POSTNET code). These mechanical processing systems may contain an OCR machine, which can read and sort a mailpiece based on the ZIP code. These mechanical processing systems may also contain a Bar Code Sorter, which can read

00785-0002

5

15

20

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

5

20

LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT,  
 DUNNER, L. L. P.  
 O I STREET, N. W.  
 NGTON, DC 20005  
 02-408-4000

mailpiece, if the RBCS cannot otherwise identify the mailpiece. For example, in the RBCS, the ITEM code can be linked to an electronic image of the mailpiece taken at the time the mailpiece is marked with the ITEM code by the RBCS. So, if the RBCS cannot identify a mailpiece, the RBCS can recall the electronic image of the mailpiece, which contains a destination address, including the POSTNET code.

The identification and processing of mail in the RBCS is dependent upon the use of either the POSTNET code or the ITEM code. When each mailpiece is identified by the RBCS, the ITEM code is first stored temporarily until the mailpiece receives the POSTNET code and has been processed by the RBCS. If the POSTNET code becomes illegible during processing, the ITEM code may be used to obtain the POSTNET code. The ITEM code is used to store a copy of the POSTNET code in a short-term memory until the RBCS has processed the mailpiece based on the POSTNET code. However, once the mailpiece has been processed and sorted based on the POSTNET code, the RBCS can no longer access the ITEM code, because the RBCS cannot store the ITEM code locally or transmit the ITEM code to other RBCS sites.

As a result, a number of problems can arise if the POSTNET code cannot be read by the RBCS. For instance, the POSTNET code on a mailpiece might be illegible as soon as it is applied due to the color or pattern of the mailpiece. If so, the mailpiece may be fed into a letter mail labeling machine that applies a white label to cover the illegible POSTNET code, and then, the mailpiece may be again fed into the RBCS

0065275-00100  
15

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

20

system for identification (and printing of a new POSTNET code on the white label). Additionally, the POSTNET code might be legible when applied, but become illegible during subsequent processing of the mailpiece. Because the ITEM code is only stored until the completion of the initial processing, the RBCS cannot use the ITEM code to identify the POSTNET code during subsequent processing and sorting. Therefore, if the POSTNET code becomes illegible during subsequent processing, the mailpiece can no longer be sorted automatically by the RBCS. These problems with the RBCS result in severe disadvantages, including diminishing the efficiency of the systems for identifying and processing mail and requiring excessive human intervention.

As indicated above, there are a number of shortcomings incumbent with these conventional systems for identifying and processing mail. It is therefore desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to identify and process mail when the ZIP code is illegible. It is also desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to identify and process mail when the POSTNET code is illegible. It is further desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to identify and process mail when the ITEM code is illegible. It is still further desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to establish a redundant identification code, which may be globally used by a system for identifying and processing mail. It is additionally desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to read an identification code by a system for identifying and processing mail. It is still additionally

0965275-08310015

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

desirable to overcome these shortcomings by developing apparatus and methods to identify and process mail where a redundant identification code is used with a global system for identifying and processing mail, where one or more the nodes of the system are connected via hardware or software.

5

III. Summary of the Invention

*Sub 417*

Apparatus and methods consistent with the present invention overcome the shortcomings of the conventional systems by using an identification code on the back of each mailpiece as a redundant source of identification for identifying and processing mail in a mail sorting system.

Apparatus and methods consistent with the present invention process mailpiece information in a mail processing device using sorter application software. When a first prompt is received from an operator of the mail processing device, a connection is initiated between the mail processing device and an identification code server, via the sorter application software. Mailpiece information is processed between the mail processing device and the identification code server, via the sorter application software. When a second prompt is received from the operator of the mail processing device, the connection between the mail processing device and the identification code server is terminated.

Additional objects and advantages of the invention will be set forth in part in the description which follows, and in part will be obvious from the description, or may be

20

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

095275-083100  
15

5

#### IV. Brief Description of the Drawings

In the drawings:

20

Figure 3 shows an embodiment of an ID Tag in greater detail, as shown in Figure 2B;



Figure 4A depicts a simplified overview of a mailpiece as it enters a Post Office in a Remote Bar Code System (RBCS);

Figure 4B depicts a simplified overview of a mailpiece as it enters a Post Office in an Identification Code Sorting (ICS) system;

Figure 5 shows one embodiment of a Remote Bar Code System (RBCS), as shown in Figure 2A and 4A;

Figure 6A shows one embodiment of an Identification Code Sorting (ICS) system, as shown in Figures 2A and 4B;

Figure 6B shows an alternative embodiment of an Identification Code Sorting (ICS) system, as shown in Figures 2A and 4B;

Figure 7 is a detailed view of one embodiment of the section of an ICS system in which a mailpiece image (including an ID Tag) is processed to determine a POSTNET code (or ZIP code) corresponding to the destination address of a mailpiece, as shown in Figure 6A;

Figure 8 is a block diagram of one embodiment of an Image Control Unit (ICU) in greater detail;

Figure 9 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Remote Computer Reader (RCR) in greater detail;

Figure 10 is a block diagram of one embodiment of an Image Buffer in greater detail;

Figure 11 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Keying Site in greater detail;

Figure 12 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Primary Identification Code Server/Secondary Identification Code Server (PICS/SICS) system, as shown in Figures 6A and 6B;

Figure 13 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Primary Identification Code Server (PICS), as shown in Figure 12;

Figure 14 shows one embodiment of how a PICS functions, as shown in Figure 13;

Figure 15 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Secondary Identification Code Server (SICS), as shown in Figure 14;

Figure 15A is a diagram of one embodiment of a plurality of Primary Identification Code Servers operating in national mode;

Figure 15B illustrates one embodiment of a process by which the sharing of mailpiece identification files takes place in national mode, as shown in Figure 15A;

Figure 16 depicts one embodiment of a PICS/SICS system incorporating Common Sorter Software;

Figure 17 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) system using Common Sorter Software to connect to a PICS;

00785-0002

5

15

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

Figure 18 illustrates various embodiments of Bar Code Sorters using Common Sorter Software to connect to a PICS/SICS such as the BCS systems shown in Figure 17;

Figures 19A-19C illustrate one embodiment for a process used by one embodiment of Common Sorter Software during the identification and processing of a mailpiece by any of the Bar Code Sorters (BCS), such as those shown in Figure 18;

Figure 20 is a block diagram of a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) consistent with one embodiment of the present invention, for example, as used by a RBCS, which includes, for example, an RBCS ID Tag Reader;

Figure 21 is a block diagram of a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) consistent with one embodiment of the present invention, for example, as used by an ICS system, which includes, for example, a Universal ID Tag Reader;

Figure 22 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Universal ID Tag Reader (UIDTR);

Figure 23 illustrates one embodiment of a UIDTR in greater detail, as shown in Figure 22;

Figures 24A-24D illustrate the operation of one embodiment of a UIDTR while processing a mailpiece, according to one embodiment of the invention;

Figure 25 shows optional components of an embodiment of a UIDTR such as the UIDTR in Figure 22; and

00785.0002

15

20

Figure 26 shows still additional optional components of another embodiment of a UIDTR, such as the UIDTR in Figure 22.

V. Detailed Description

A. Introduction

Apparatus and methods consistent with the present invention provide for identifying and processing mail using an identification code on a mailpiece as a redundant source of identification information in a mail sorting system. In one embodiment, this information is stored in a temporary database and used for the identification and processing of mail in a Remote Bar Code System (RBCS). In this embodiment, the identification code enables the automation of mail sorting and other processing tasks, reducing costs and delays in mail delivery services. In another embodiment, the identification and processing of mail occurs in an Identification Code Sorting (ICS) system. In this embodiment, a long-term database allows for mail sorting and other processing tasks on a national or global level.

Reference will now be made in detail to various embodiments of the invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Other embodiments of the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art from consideration of the specification and practice of the invention disclosed herein. It is intended that the specification and examples be considered as exemplary only, with a true scope and spirit of the invention being indicated by the appended claims.

5

0965275-03100  
10  
15

20

## 5

15

## 20

**LAW OFFICES**  
**EGAN, HENDERSON,**  
**LABOW, GARRETT,**  
**DUNNER, L.L.P.**  
**601 STREET, N. W.**  
**WASHINGTON, DC 20005**  
**202-408-4000**

5

5

20

LAW OFFICES  
EGAN, HENDERSON,  
LABOW, GARRETT,  
DUNNER, L.L.P.  
101 STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

5

## 15

## 20

LAW OFFICES  
EGAN, HENDERSON,  
LABOW, GARRETT,  
DUNNER, L. L. P.  
101 STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

5

15

## 20

LAW



5

15

### 3. Detailed Description of RBCS

20

5

15

20

into OSS 504. The white label creates a clear area on mailpiece 100, and RBCS 500 then reapplies POSTNET code 202 onto the white label on mailpiece 100. OSS 504 then verifies POSTNET code 202 to confirm that POSTNET code 202 is legible. Once POSTNET code 202 is verified, ID Tag 204 has no further use.

If ISS 502 cannot resolve the ZIP code from destination address 200, then the mailpiece image, including ID Tag 204, is sent from ISS 502 to an Image Control Unit (ICU) 508. ICU 508 receives delivery address data from a Central Database 510 and forwards the data along with the mailpiece image, including ID Tag 204, to a Remote Computer Reader (RCR) 512. This delivery address data may include ZIP code data, POSTNET data, or temporary ID Tag files, as described in more detail herein. RCR 512 first attempts to use the data from the central database to automatically resolve the ZIP code corresponding to mailpiece 100. For example, RCR 512 uses ID Tag 204 to determine if there is a temporary file on mailpiece 100 in RBCS 500, which contains the ZIP code data. If RCR 512 is successful, it returns the ZIP code data to ICU 508, where the data is stored in a Decision Storage Unit (DSU) 514. If RCR 512 does not successfully resolve the ZIP code corresponding to mailpiece 100, the mailpiece image, including ID Tag 204, is sent from ICU 508 to a Keying Site 516, where a human operator views the mailpiece image and keys in the ZIP code data, which is returned to ICU 508 and stored in DSU 514. Therefore, in RBCS 500, regardless whether RCR 512 or Keying Site 516 resolves the ZIP code data, the ZIP code data, in the form of

5

15

20

POSTNET code 202, is linked to ID Tag 204. All of this information, which is identified by ID Tag 204, is temporarily stored in DSU 514.

If ISS 502 cannot resolve the ZIP code from destination address 200, and while the mailpiece image is processed by ICU 508, mailpiece 100 is routed from ISS 502 to an Output Subsystem (OSS) 504. A Bar Code Sorter at OSS 504 reads ID Tag 204 from mailpiece 100 and transmits a lookup request to DSU 514. Once the ZIP code has been resolved for mailpiece 100, DSU 514 then retrieves and returns the ZIP code corresponding to ID Tag 204 to OSS 504, and OSS 504 then applies POSTNET code 202 to mailpiece 100, if necessary. OSS 504 then verifies POSTNET code 202 to confirm that POSTNET code 202 is legible. If OSS 504 cannot verify POSTNET code 202, mailpiece 100 is sent to LMLM 506 for manual processing as described above. OSS 504 then re-sprays and verifies POSTNET code 202 to confirm that POSTNET code 202 is legible. Once POSTNET code 202 is verified, ID Tag 204 has no further use and is no longer stored in RBCS 500.

After mailpiece 100 is processed by ISS 502 and OSS 504, initial mail processing of mailpiece 100 by RBCS 500 is complete at Post Office 104. If destination address 200 of mailpiece 100 indicates that mailpiece 100 is local mail, then RBCS 500 directs mailpiece 100 to mail carriers 518. However, if destination address 200 indicates that mailpiece 100 is not local mail, then RBCS 500 dispatches mailpiece 100 via one or more modes of transportation 520 to remote delivery sites 522.

#### 4. Detailed Description of ICS

5

15

However, if mailpiece 100 is not bound for an ICS-enabled destination, then mailpiece 100 is processed as in RBCS 500, as described above.

5  
10  
15  
20

612 is not successful, ICS system 600 may use an Image Buffer 618 for priority designation, as described in more detail herein.

5 If ISS 602 cannot resolve the ZIP code from destination address 200, and while the mailpiece image is processed by ICU 608, mailpiece 100 is routed from ISS 602 to OSS 604. A Bar Code Sorter at OSS 604 reads ID Tag 204 from mailpiece 100 and transmits a lookup request to DSU 614. Once the ZIP code has been resolved for mailpiece 100, DSU 614 then retrieves and returns the ZIP code corresponding to ID Tag 204 to OSS 604, and OSS 604 then applies POSTNET code 202 to mailpiece 100, if necessary. OSS 604 then verifies POSTNET code 202 to confirm that POSTNET code 202 is legible. However, in contrast to RBCS 500, even if OSS 604 cannot verify POSTNET code 202, mailpiece 100 can still be identified and processed in ICS system 600, if OSS 604 determines that mailpiece 100 is bound for an ICS-enabled destination. In this scenario, ICS system 600 simply uses ID Tag 204 as the identification code (instead of POSTNET code 202).

15 Therefore, in contrast to RBCS 500, ICS system 600 provides for the long-term storage of ID Tags 204 and corresponding POSTNET codes 202, which allows for the automation of tasks previously required to be performed by human operators. In addition, ICS system 600 provides for the sharing of this information throughout all phases of the identification and processing of mailpiece 100. This capability is made possible by Primary Identification Code Server/Secondary Identification Code Server (PICS/SICS) system 622. As described below, PICS/SICS system 622 enables

00785-0002

15

20

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

downstream mailpiece identification and processing based on ID Tag 204, even if POSTNET code 202 becomes illegible. As in RBCS 500, after mailpiece 100 is processed by ISS 602 and OSS 604 in ICS system 600, initial mail processing is complete. Thereafter, mailpiece 100 is processed as in RBCS 500, as described above.

5

Figure 6B shows an alternative embodiment of an Identification Code Sorting (ICS) system, as shown in Figures 2A and 4B. In this embodiment, if RCR 612 does not successfully resolve the ZIP code corresponding to mailpiece 100, the mailpiece image is not stored in an image buffer (e.g., Image Buffer 618 in Figure 6A). Instead, RCR 612 sends the mailpiece image to ICU 608 indicating that the ZIP code has not been resolved, and ICU 608 transmits the mailpiece image to Keying Site 620. At Keying Site 620, processing occurs as described above with reference to Figure 6A.

#### a. Overview of Processing for Mailpiece Image

Figure 7 is a detailed view of one embodiment of the section of an ICS system in which a mailpiece image (including an ID Tag) is processed to determine a POSTNET code (or ZIP code) corresponding to the destination address of a mailpiece, as shown in Figure 6A. The mailpiece image (along with ID Tag 204), taken at ISS 602, is passed from ISS 602 to ICU 608 for processing. From ICU 608, the mailpiece image (and ID Tag 204) is passed to RCR 612. Also, Central Database 610 (e.g., a USPS master address database) passes data (e.g., POSTNET data and/or ZIP code data) via ICU 608 to RCR 612. RCR 612 processes the mailpiece image to resolve ZIP code data using the data received from Central Database 610. Generally, RCR 612 is able to

00785-0002

15

20

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000



resolve ZIP code data based on a file contained within Central Database 610—the file is identified by ID Tag 204. In effect, ID Tag 204 is used to match the mailpiece image to a file in Central Database 610. In ICS system 600, in contrast to RBCS 500, ID Tag 204 may be used to match the proper file in Central Database 610 throughout the identification and processing system.

Nonetheless, if RCR 612 fails, then the mailpiece image (and ID Tag 204) is stored in Image Buffer 618 in one embodiment, as shown in Figure 6A, which may include a priority designation, and is then sent to Keying Site 620, where it is processed according to the priority designation (if any). In an alternative embodiment without Image Buffer 618, as shown in Figure 6B, if RCR 612 does not resolve the ZIP code corresponding to mailpiece 100, RCR 612 sends the mailpiece image (and ID Tag 204) to ICU 608 indicating that the ZIP code has not been resolved, and ICU 608 then transmits this data to Keying Site 620.

During the subsequent processing in ICS system 600, when a ZIP code for the mailpiece image is resolved, either by RCR 612 or Keying Site 620, the ZIP code data is returned to ICU 608. ICU 608 then uses the ZIP code data to resolve the ZIP code for mailpiece 100. To do this, DSU 614 in ICU 608 sends the ZIP code data to OSS 604. ICU 608 also saves the ZIP code data in a storage system. ICU 608 informs Central Database 610 of the ZIP code data, which is mapped to ID Tag 204, which maintains a long-term storage capability. ICU 608 may also retain a local copy of the ZIP code data, which is mapped to ID Tag 204, at Image Buffer 618. As a result, ICS

5

## 20

LAW OFFICES  
EGAN, HENDERSON,  
LABOW, GARRETT,  
DUNNER, L.L.P.  
101 STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

- 26 -

5

20

Buffer 618 is described below, with reference to Figure 10. In an alternative embodiment (not shown), if RCR 612 does not resolve the POSTNET code, RCR 612 can send the mailpiece image or data indicating that the identification information has not been resolved back to ICU 608. Processing by ICU 608 is described above, with reference to Figure 8.

Figure 10 is a block diagram of one embodiment of an Image Buffer in greater detail. Image Buffer 618 receives a Buffer File 1002 containing a mailpiece image (including ID Tag 204, not shown) and a priority designation from RCR 612. Image Buffer 618 stores Buffer File 1002. Upon the expiration of a condition (not shown), such as the end of a sort run or the end of the day, or upon receipt of a prompt from (as shown in Figure 10), for example, RCR 612, Image Buffer 618 sends Buffer File 1002 to ICU 608 for processing. Image Buffer 618 may also retain a copy of the identification information corresponding to a plurality of mailpieces 100 (i.e., a copy of a plurality of Buffer Files 1002). Alternatively, in certain other embodiments of ICS system 600, Image Buffer 618 is not implemented.

Figure 11 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Keying Site in greater detail. In this embodiment, Keying Site 620 receives a Buffer File 1002 from ICU 608 that contains a mailpiece image (including ID Tag 204, not shown) and a corresponding priority designation, which is forwarded to a human operator for manual processing according to the priority designation. As shown in Figure 11, the mailpiece image from Buffer File 1002 is presented to an operator at a keying station 1102. The operator

5

15

20

views the mailpiece image and keys the identification information into a computer at Keying Site 620, such as the ZIP code information for the POSTNET code corresponding to the mailpiece image. Keying Site 620 then returns the identification information to ICU 608 as an identification file. It is to be understood that a priority designation is not necessary. Alternatively, Keying Site 620 could process mailpiece images on a first-received, first-processed basis, if priority designations are not used.

D. Primary Identification Code Server/Secondary Identification Code Server (PICS/SICS) System

Figure 12 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Primary Identification Code Server/Secondary Identification Code Server (PICS/SICS) system, as shown in Figures 6A and 6B. As described above in Figures 6A and 6B, ICU 608 maintains ICS Buffer 616, which stores ID Tags and corresponding POSTNET codes for mailpieces. ICU 608 may share this information with PICS/SICS system 622. As shown in Figure 12, ICU 608 shares identification information with a Primary Identification Code Server (PICS) 1200 via a telecommunications connection 1202. PICS 1200 in turn shares the identification information with a Secondary Identification Code Server (SICS) 1204 via a telecommunications connection 1206.

As shown in Figure 12, PICS 1200 can also communicate with a Value Added Service System 1208 via telecommunications link 1210. Value Added Service System 1208 can be, for example, a system to track and report the performance of PICS/SICS system 622. Telecommunications connections 1202, 1206, and 1210 can be, for

example, an Internet connection, a telephone line with a modem, a local area network (LAN), or a wide area network (WAN). In systems consistent with the present invention, PICS 1200 can communicate with multiple SICS to share a plurality of identification information about a plurality of mailpieces. As also shown in Figure 12, PICS 1200 communicates with Bar Code Sorters (BCS) 1212, 1214, and 1216. SICS 1204 communicates with BCS 1218 and 1220. Of course, each PICS and SICS can interface with any number of BCS consistent with the present invention. The communication with Bar Code Sorters is described in further detail below, with reference to Figures 14 and 15.

Additionally, as shown in Figure 12, PICS system 1230, which contains PICS 1200 and BCS 1212, 1214, and 1216, is in the same physical location, such as, for example, a USPS Mail Processing & Distribution Center. In one implementation, a dedicated ICS local area network connects BCS 1212, 1214, and 1216 to PICS 1200. SICS system 1240, which contains SICS 1204 and BCS 1218 and 1220, is in a different physical location, such as, for example, a USPS Associate Office. In one implementation, a dedicated ICS local area network connects BCS 1218 and 1220 to SICS 1204. Other configurations of PICS system 1230 and/or SICS system 1240 are possible.

Figure 13 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Primary Identification Code Server (PICS), as shown in Figure 12. As described above, PICS 1200 communicates with ICU 608 via telecommunications connection 1202. In one implementation, PICS

1200 maintains a Local.Sat file 1306 that includes all of the geographic areas, i.e., ZIP code zones, served by PICS 1200. PICS 1200 also includes a Mode Indicator 1308 that can be set to either local or national mode. In local mode, PICS 1200 communicates with one or more Bar Code Sorters (BCS) 1302, one or more Secondary Identification Code Servers (SICS) 1304, and one or more PICS. In national mode, PICS 1200 may additionally communicate with PICS 1200 via one or more Electronic Post Offices (EPOs) (not shown). National mode is described below, with reference to Figures 15A and 15B.

As shown in the depicted implementation in Figure 13, to identify information processed between ICU 608 and PICS 1200, PICS 1200 maintains a Lookup Table 1310. Identification files, or ID files, containing ID Tag and POSTNET data, are stored in the identification files in Lookup Table 1310. To serve one or more SICS 1304, PICS 1200 includes a SICS\_ZIP Data File Generator 1312 and a SICS Service Area Table Database 1314. SICS\_ZIP Data File Generator 1312 is used by PICS 1200 to create a SICS\_ZIP Data File (not shown here, but see below) for each SICS connected to PICS 1200 by matching identification files from Lookup Table 1310 to the service area of each SICS. The service area of each SICS connected to PICS 1200, i.e., the geographic area served by each SICS, is stored in a SICS Service Area Table in SICS Service Area Table Database 1314.

Figure 14 shows one embodiment of how a PICS functions, as shown in Figure 13. PICS 1200 receives an identification file, including ID Tag 204 and POSTNET code

09/25/2007 10:00 AM

20

5

15



i.e., the ZIP code or the POSTNET code, corresponding to identification code 1410 to BCS 1402.

To do so, PICS 1200 matches identification code 1410 with an identification code contained in an identification file, such as identification code 1422 in identification file 1420. Because ICS system 600 had previously created identification file 1420 corresponding to a single mailpiece (using the unique identification code 1422), PICS 1200 can accurately obtain the identification information using identification file 1420, which matches identification code 1422 to identification code 1410. Thereby, PICS 1200 can also determine that postal code 1424 corresponds to identification code 1410. PICS 1200 then returns identification information 1430 to BCS 1402. In one embodiment, identification information 1430 is postal code 1424. In an alternative embodiment, identification information 1430 is identification code 1422. In another alternative embodiment, identification information 1430 is identification file 1420. In still another alternative embodiment, identification information 1430 can be an entirely different code.

A second function of PICS 1200 is to share information with one or more SICS 1404. To do this, at predetermined intervals, PICS 1200 sends information to SICS 1404 via a telecommunications connection. These intervals can be based on time (e.g., every twenty minutes, every hour, etc.) or on another measurement (e.g., once 20,000 identification files are stored in Lookup Table 1310, etc.). PICS 1200 uses SICS\_ZIP Data File Generator 1312 to create a SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406. SICS\_ZIP

00785.0002

Data File 1406 contains the identification files from Lookup Table 1310 for a particular SICS 1404. SICS\_ZIP Data File Generator 1312 uses the appropriate SICS Service Area Table 1315 corresponding to SICS 1404 to determine which identification files are included in SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406. For example, in SICS Service Area Table Database 1314, there is a SICS Service Area Table 1315 that identifies the service area for a particular SICS, e.g., the ZIP codes for the zones served by SICS 1404. Thus, using this information (for purposes of this example), SICS\_ZIP Data File Generator 1312 collects all identification files (e.g., identification files 1407) with the ZIP codes from SICS Service Area Table 1315 and creates SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406. At the predetermined interval (described above), PICS 1200 then sends SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406 containing identification files 1407 to SICS 1404.

Figure 15 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Secondary Identification Code Server (SICS), as shown in Figure 14. In Figure 15, SICS 1404 performs the same basic function as PICS 1200 with respect to Bar Code Sorters. SICS 1404 resolves mailpiece information for one or more Bar Code Sorters, e.g., Bar Code Sorter (BCS) 1502. To do this, SICS 1404 receives a SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406 from PICS 1200. For example, SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406 may include a collection of identification files 1407 corresponding to mailpieces destined for postal codes within the service area of SICS 1404. In one implementation, when BCS 1502 reads an identification code 1510 from a mailpiece, BCS 1502 sends identification code 1510 to SICS 1404, such as, for example, over a dedicated ICS local area network (not shown). SICS 1404

001680" 5225950  
15

20

looks up identification code 1510 in SICS\_ZIP Data File 1406 and returns identification information, e.g., the ZIP code or the POSTNET code, to BCS 1502 in the form of identification information 1520. Accordingly, in this implementation, BCS 1502 can use identification information 1520 to identify and process the mailpiece even if the ZIP code or the POSTNET code is illegible. Thus, like PICS 1200, SICS 1404 can determine mailpiece information for a Bar Code Sorter 1502.

Figure 15A is a diagram of one embodiment of a plurality of Primary Identification Code Servers operating in national mode. As in local mode, in which a PICS shares mailpiece identification information with one or more SICS and one or more PICS (see Figure 13), in national mode, a PICS additionally shares mailpiece identification with other PICS via one or more Electronic Post Offices (EPOs). As shown in Figure 15A, a plurality of PICS 1505 are connected to a plurality of EPOs 1502. In one implementation, PICS 1510, PICS 1511, and PICS 1512 are connected to ICS Electronic Post Office West 1504, ICS Electronic Post Office Central 1506, and ICS Electronic Post Office East 1508 via a network (not shown). Any number of PICS can be connected to any number of EPOs. This national mode implementation allows for broad interoperability among an unlimited number of PICS and EPOs. For example, as shown in Figure 15A, PICS 1510 may receive identification files for all mailpieces processed by all PICS in an ICS system 600. By allowing PICS 1510 to communicate with one or more of EPOs 1504, 1506, and 1508, the identification files for mailpieces bound for areas served by PICS 1511 and PICS 1512 are also sent from PICS 1510 to

5

001160 5/25/00 15

20

5

15

20

5

## Common Sorter Software

20

5

15

20

5

15

20

PICS/SICS 1810 throughout the mail sort run. As shown in Figure 19C, once the mail sort run is complete, operator 1900 enters an 'End Run' command into BCS 1212, and Common Sorter Software 1602 breaks the connection with PICS/SICS 1810 until the next mail sort run. One skilled in the art would be aware of alternative processes by which BCS 1212 could connect with PICS/SICS 1810 via Common Sorter Software 1602.

#### F. Universal ID Tag Reader

As described above, as shown in Figures 12 and 13, a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) is used by ICS system 600 to read information from a mailpiece and to identify and process the mailpiece according to the information. As also described above, ICS system 600 uses special codes for the identification and processing of mail, namely, the POSTNET code (on the front of the mailpiece) and the identification code (on the back of the mailpiece). To read the identification code off the back of the mailpiece, RBCS 500 and ICS system 600 include special apparatus and processes, such as an ID Tag Reader (in RBCS 500) and an Universal ID Tag Reader (in ICS system 600).

Figure 20 is a block diagram of a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) consistent with one embodiment of the present invention, for example, as used by a RBCS, which includes, for example, an RBCS ID Tag Reader. BCS 1212 includes a Bar Code Sorting System 2002, Common Sorter Software 1602, and a RBCS ID Tag Reader 2000. As described above, RBCS 500 makes only limited use of an identification code, because identification files are temporary and may only be used locally. For this reason, RBCS



ID Tag Reader 2000 is generally used with a single type of BCS, namely, the OSS/BCS 1808, as shown in Figure 18.

Figure 21 is a block diagram of a Bar Code Sorter (BCS) consistent with one embodiment of the present invention, for example, as used by an ICS system, which includes, for example, a Universal ID Tag Reader. BCS 1212 in ICS system 600 includes Bar Code Sorting System 2002, Common Sorter Software 1602, and a Universal ID Tag Reader 2100. As described above, ICS system 600 makes widespread use of an identification code, and therefore, Universal ID Tag Reader 2100 has many applications. For example, Universal ID Tag Reader 2100 can be used on any type of BCS, including MPBCS 1802, DBCS 1804, CSBCS 1806, and OSS/BCS 1808, as shown in Figure 18.

Figure 22 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a Universal ID Tag Reader (UIDTR). UIDTR 2100 includes two main components: a Reader Head Assembly 2200 and a Reader Unit 2202 connected by a Fiber Optic Cable 2204. Notably, because Reader Head Assembly 2200 is separate from Reader Unit 2202, Reader Head Assembly 2200 may be placed in an assortment of different positions within ICS system 600, connected by Fiber Optic Cable 2204. In contrast to RBCS ID Tag Reader 2000, therefore, UIDTR 2100 has increased flexibility and usability in ICS system 600.

Figure 23 illustrates one embodiment of a UIDTR in greater detail, as shown in Figure 22. Reader Head Assembly 2200 includes an Infrared Receiver 2302 and a Lens 2304. Reader Unit 2202 includes a Reader Logic Unit 2306, a Light Source 2308,

a Light Filter Unit 2310, a Signal Converter 2318, and a Port 2320. In this embodiment, Light Filter Unit 2310 includes a first Light Filter 2312, a second Light Filter 2314, and a third Light Filter 2316. One skilled in the art would recognize that other embodiments may be used for the arrangement of light filters in Reader Unit 2202. Reader Head Assembly 2200 is connected to Reader Unit 2202 via Fiber Optic Bundle 2204.

Figures 24A-24D illustrate the operation of one embodiment of a UIDTR while processing a mailpiece, according to one embodiment of the invention. As shown in Figure 24A, mailpiece 100 includes an identification code, i.e., an ID code. When mailpiece 100 is placed before Universal ID Tag Reader 2100, a light barrier signal is generated at Infrared Receiver 2302. Infrared Receiver 2302 passes the light barrier signal to Reader Logic Unit 2306. The light barrier signal indicates that there is a mailpiece ready to be processed. As shown in Figure 24B, reader Logic Unit 2306 then supplies power to Light Source 2308. The light from Light Source 2308 travels over Fiber Optic Bundle 2204 and illuminates the ID code on the mailpiece. As shown in Figure 24C, lens 2304 then focuses the ID code onto Fiber Optic Bundle 2204. In one embodiment, Fiber Optic Bundle 2204 may divide the light into at least three bundles. One skilled in the art would recognize that other embodiments may be used, including less than three bundles. Each bundle is directed to a light filter in Light Filter Unit 2310. The first bundle is filtered through a First Light Filter 2312, the second bundle is filtered through a Second Light Filter 2314, and the third bundle is filtered through a Third Light Filter 2316. In this embodiment, the light filters (i.e., First Light Filter 2312, Second

Light Filter 2314, and Third Light Filter 2316) respond to different frequencies of the fluorescent spectrum. The analog signals output by Light Filter Unit 2310 are then converted into digital signals by Signal Converter 2318, e.g., an analog/digital converter. Finally, as shown in Figure 24D, the digital signal from Signal Converter 2318 is passed to Reader Logic Unit 2306, where the digital signal is converted into an ID code corresponding to the ID code on mailpiece 100. Reader Logic Unit 2306 passes the ID code to Port 2320, and the ID code is passed back to BCS 1212.

Figure 25 shows optional components of an embodiment of a UIDTR such as the UIDTR in Figure 22. As shown in Figure 25, an operator 2500 can operate Universal ID Tag Reader 2100 using one or more Light Emitting Diodes 2502 on Reader Head Assembly 2200 and one or more Light Emitting Diodes 2504 and Push Buttons 2506, located on Reader Unit 2202. Light Emitting Diodes 2502 and/or Light Emitting Diodes 2504 can display diagnostic information, such as 'System OK' or 'Power OK,' or function options, such as 'Reset,' to operator 2500. Operator 2500 can use Push Buttons 2506 to display diagnostic information, to select function options or to input other data.

Figure 26 shows still additional optional components of another embodiment of a UIDTR, such as the UIDTR in Figure 22. Port 2602 can support, for example, transistor transistor logic (TTL) and Port 2604 can support, for example, differential logic. These optional component ports may enable, for example, UIDTR 2100 to function with an expanded variety of Bar Code Sorters.

007630" 5/24/95 09:59:10

5

15

20

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000

VI. Conclusion

As described above, therefore, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made in the methods and apparatus of the present invention without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the present invention cover the modifications and variations of this invention, provided they come within the scope of the appended claims and their equivalents. In this context, equivalents mean each and every implementation for carrying out the functions recited in the claims, even if not explicitly described herein.

5

007E80" 5225950

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT,  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-408-4000